

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

RAMON REYES CANO,

CV. 07-1379-MO

Petitioner,

ORDER

v.

CIRCUIT COURT OF THE STATE  
OREGON FOR THE COUNTY OF  
MULTNOMAH,

Respondent.

MOSMAN, District Judge.

Petitioner moves to proceed *in forma pauperis* on appeal. The Application (#15) is DENIED for the reasons set forth in Order (#4) dated September 21, 2007.

Also before the court is petitioner's Motion for Temporary Restraining Order (#13) which was filed on the same day as his Notice of Appeal (#14) to the Ninth Circuit. "The filing of a notice of appeal is an event of jurisdictional significance--it confers jurisdiction on the court of appeals and divests the district court of its control over those aspects of the case

involved in the appeal." *Griggs v. Provident Consumer Discount Co.*, 459 U.S. 56, 58 (1982) (per curiam). Accordingly, the Motion for Temporary Restraining Order (#13) is DENIED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 11th day of February, 2008.

/s/Michael W. Mosman

Michael W. Mosman

United States District Judge